

July 3, 2024

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

rjacobs@elias.law; dbusser@elias.law Rachel L. Jacobs, Esq. and Dylon D. Busser, Esq. Elias Law Group 250 Massachusetts Ave NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20001

RE: MUR 8159

Val Hoyle for Congress, et al.

Dear Ms. Jacobs and Mr. Busser:

On August 31, 2023, the Federal Election Commission notified your clients, Val Hoyle for Congress and Holly Giarraputo in her official capacity as treasurer and Valerie Hoyle, of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). A copy of the complaint was forwarded to your clients at that time.

Upon further review of the allegations contained in the complaint, and information supplied by you, the Commission, on June 3, 2024, voted to dismiss this matter and close the file effective July 3, 2024. The General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the Commission's decision, is enclosed for your information.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record today. *See* Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016).

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

BY: Wanda D. Brown

Assistant General Counsel

Wanda D. Brown

Enclosure
General Counsel's Report

1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION			
2 3 4	ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM DISMISSAL REPORT			
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MUR: 8159	Respondents:	Val Hoyle for Congress and Holly Giarraputo in her official capacity as treasurer Valerie Hoyle Rosa Cazares Aaron Mitchell	
13 14 15 16	Complaint Receipt Date: August 24, Response Dates: September 12, 2023;			
18 19 20	Alleged Statutory/ Regulatory Violations:		52 U.S.C. § 30123 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(c)	
21	The Complaint alleges that Vale	erie Hoyle, a 2022 car	ndidate in Oregon's 4th Congressional	
22	District, ¹ and her principal campaign committee, Val Hoyle for Congress and Holly Giarraputo in			
23	her official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee"),2 received cash contributions totaling \$5,800			
24	from Rosa Cazares and Aaron Mitchell without promptly returning the amount over \$100 to each			
25	contributor, in violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), and			
26	Commission regulations. ³ The Compla	int includes two pres	s articles. One article, authored by the	
27	Complainant, states that Cazares and Mitchell previously made state political contributions in cash			
28	and that, according to a November 2022	2 court filing, Mitche	ll does not possess bank or credit card	

Valerie Hoyle, Amended Statement of Candidacy (Dec. 13, 2021), https://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/605/202112139469841605/202112139469841605.pdf. Hoyle is also a 2024 election cycle candidate in Oregon's 4th Congressional District. Valerie Hoyle, Amended Statement of Candidacy (Nov. 23, 2022), https://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/087/202211259547037087/20221125947/20221125

² Val Hoyle for Congress, Amended Statement of Organization at 2 (Nov. 23, 2022), https://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/088/202211259547037088/202211259547037088.pdf.

³ Compl. at 1 (Aug. 24, 2023).

MUR 8159 (Val Hoyle for Congress, *et al.*) EPS Dismissal Report Page 2 of 3

- 1 accounts in his name.⁴ The article further states that the multiple contributions reported as coming
- 2 from Cazares and Mitchell to the Committee on the same day is unusual.⁵ Nonetheless, the article
- 3 clarifies that the assertion that the contributions were made in cash is ultimately based on
- 4 speculation.⁶ The second article states that Cazares and Mitchell have previously made political
- 5 contributions to state committees in cash, ⁷ but also states that other contributions made by Mitchell
- 6 and a Cazares-controlled committee were made by written instruments.⁸

7 In Response, Cazares and Mitchell provide signed declarations attesting that they

8 contributed to the Committee via money order, and both provide images of the money orders. 9 In

particular, Cazares states that she contributed \$3,000 with three money orders (\$2,800 for the 2022

primary election and \$200 for the 2022 general election), ¹⁰ and Mitchell states that he contributed

\$2,800 with three money orders (all for the 2022 primary election).¹¹

In Response, the Committee and Hoyle state that the contributions in question were made

via money order and provide images of the money orders.¹²

Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement

Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and

assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These

9

10

12

14

15

16

⁴ *Id.* at 4.

⁵ *Id*.

⁶ *Id.* at 5.

⁷ *Id.* at 7.

⁸ *Id.* at 8.

⁹ Cazares and Mitchell Response Attach. at 6-9, 10-13 (Sept. 13, 2023).

¹⁰ *Id.* at 6.

¹¹ *Id.* at 10.

¹² Committee and Val Hoyle Response at 1, Exhibit A at 5-10 (Sept. 18, 2023).

MUR 8159 (Val Hoyle for Congress, *et al.*) EPS Dismissal Report Page 3 of 3

1	criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity			
2	and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the			
3	electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in			
4	potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for			
5	Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating,			
6	apparent low dollar amount at issue, and speculative nature of the Complaint, we recommend that			
7	the Commission dismiss the Complaint, consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion			
8	to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources. ¹³ We also			
9	recommend that the Commission close the file effective 30 days from the date of certification of			
10	this vote (or on the next business day after the 30th day, if the 30th day falls on a weekend or			
11	holiday) and send the appropriate lette	rs.		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	April 24, 2024 Date	BY:	Charles Kitcher Associate General Counsel Claudio J. Pavia Deputy Associate General Counsel Wanda Brown Wanda D. Brown Assistant General Counsel	
30 31 32			Gordon King Gordon King Attorney	

¹³ Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).