

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC

August 11, 2021

### VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

dbetras@bhlaws.com David Betras Betras, Kopp & Harshman 6630 Seville Drive Canfield, OH 44406

> RE: MUR 7805 Michele Lepore-Hagan for State Representative and Treasurer

Dear Mr. Betras:

On September 29, 2020, the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") notified your clients, Michele Lepore-Hagan for State Representative and its Treasurer, of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On August 2, 2021, based upon the information contained in the complaint and information provided by respondents, the Commission decided to dismiss allegations that Michele Lepore-Hagan for State Representative and Treasurer, violated provisions of the Act. The Commission then closed its file in this matter. A copy of the General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). If you have any questions, please contact Don Campbell, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

Roy Q. Luckett

BY: Roy Q. Luckett Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure: General Counsel's Report MUR780500045

#### **BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION**

# ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM DISMISSAL REPORT

### **MUR:** 7805

**Complaint Receipt Date:** September 25, 2020 **Latest Response Date:** December 4, 2020

Alleged Statutory Regulatory Violations:

# Respondents: Tim Ryan for Congress and Allen Ryan, as Treasurer; Tim Ryan; Michele Lepore-Hagan for State Representative and Treasurer

# 52 U.S.C. §§ 30101(4)(A); 30120(a)(1),(d) 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.5(a); 100.26, 110.11(a)-(c)

The Complaint alleges that Respondents posted an ad on YouTube and Twitter that lacked a disclaimer identifying who paid for or authorized the ad.<sup>1</sup> The Complaint further asserts that Michele Lepore-Hagan, a candidate for Ohio state representative, or her committee failed to register with the Commission as a federal committee after it raised or spent over \$1,000 on the ad.<sup>2</sup>

The Response asserts that no disclaimer was required on the ad because no fee was paid to post the video on YouTube or Twitter.<sup>3</sup> The Response further asserts that all expenses to produce the video were paid by Tim Ryan for Congress, and not by Michele Lepore-Hagan or her committee.<sup>4</sup> Alternatively, Respondents argue that the Commission should dismiss this matter because it was unlikely that the public was misled as to which party was responsible for the ad.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Compl. at 2 (Sept. 25, 2020). In the ad, Michele Lepore-Hagan states that "voting for Tim Ryan [is] the right thing to do" and calls Ryan's opponent an "Extremist Republican." *Id.* at Ex. A. The ad also includes what appears to be Tim Ryan's campaign logo. *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Tim Ryan for Congress Resp. at 1 (Nov. 23, 2020); Michele Lepore-Hagan for State Representative Resp. at 1-2 (Dec. 4, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Tim Ryan for Congress Resp. at Ex. 1.

#### MUR780500046

EPS Dismissal Report—MUR 7805 (Tim Ryan for Congress, *et al.*) Page 2 of 2

Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating and the unlikelihood that the public was misled as to which entity was responsible for the ad, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources. *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985). We also recommend that the Commission close the file as to all respondents and send the appropriate letters.

> Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

Charles Kitcher Acting Associate General Counsel

06.17.21 Date BY:

Stephen Gura

Stephen Gura<sup>(/</sup> Deputy Associate General Counsel

ristina Portner

Kristina M. Portner Attorney