1 2	FEDERAL F	ELECTION COMMISSION
3	FIRST GENEI	RAL COUNSEL'S REPORT
4 5 6 7 8 9 10		MUR 7799 DATE FILED: September 21, 2020 DATE OF NOTIFICATION: September 24, 2020 LAST RESPONSE RECEIVED: October 8, 2020 DATE ACTIVATED: December 8, 2020 SOL EXPIRATION: Oct. 15, 2024 / July 29, 2025 ELECTION CYCLE: 2020
12 13	COMPLAINANT:	Monmouth County Republican Committee
14 15 16 17	RESPONDENTS:	Stephanie for New Jersey and Jason Hinton in his official capacity as treasurer Stephanie Schmid
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	RELEVANT STATUTES AND REGULATIONS:	52 U.S.C. § 30104(b) 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(1)(A) 52 U.S.C. § 30116(f) 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a) 11 C.F.R. § 104.3
26 27	REPORTS CHECKED:	Disclosure Reports
28 29	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:	None
30	I. INTRODUCTION	
31	The Complaint alleges that Stepha	nie Schmid, a candidate for U.S. Congress from the 4th
32	Congressional District of New Jersey in 2	020, and her campaign committee, Stephanie for New
33	Jersey and Jason Hinton in his official cap	pacity as treasurer ("Committee"), accepted excessive
34	and prohibited contributions and failed to	accurately report receipts and disbursements in
35	violation of the Federal Election Campaig	n Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). For the
36	reasons set forth below, we recommend the	nat the Commission dismiss the allegations and close
37	the file in this matter.	

MUR779900153

MUR 7799 (Stephanie for New Jersey, *et al.*) First General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 8

II. FACTUAL SUMMARY

1 2

3 Schmid was the Democratic Party nominee for U.S. Congress from the 4th Congressional

- 4 District of New Jersey in 2020. The Committee is her principal campaign committee. 2
- 5 The Complaint alleges that the Committee's 2019 October Quarterly Report and 2019
- 6 Year-End Report show it accepted excessive contributions. Although the Complaint did not
- 7 identify the specific excessive contributions in either report, the Commission's Reports Analysis
- 8 Division's ("RAD's") Request for Additional Information ("RFAI") for the 2019 October
- 9 Quarterly Report identified excessive contributions from seven individuals totaling \$19,600.³
- Similarly, the RFAI for the 2019 Year-End Report identified \$11,500 in excessive contributions
- from individuals, a \$300 excessive contribution from a multicandidate committee and \$1,400 in
- 12 contributions from unregistered organizations.⁴ The Complaint states that the Committee did not
- 13 file an amended 2019 October Quarterly Report "explaining these contributions" until after RAD
- sent it an RFAI.⁵ The Complaint also notes that the Committee took seven months to amend the
- 15 2019 October Quarterly Report. Similarly, the Complaint states that the 2019 Year-End Report

¹ See Schmid Statement of Candidacy (Aug. 29, 2019). Schmid lost the general election on November 3, 2020.

Stephanie for New Jersey Amended Statement of Organization (Nov. 17, 2020).

See RFAI for 2019 October Quarterly Report, Attach. 1 (Apr. 8, 2020).

⁴ See RFAI for 2019 Year-End Report, Attach. 1 (Apr. 9, 2020). The Complaint acknowledges that the Committee amended its 2019 Year-End Report in response to the RFAI. Compl. at 4 (Sept. 21, 2020). The pages of the Complaint are not numbered, but we have identified the pages of the Complaint by number for purposes of this Report.

⁵ Compl. at 3.

Id. The Committee amended the disclosure report a month after it received the RFAI. See 2019 October Quarterly Report (Oct. 15, 2019); RFAI for 2019 October Quarterly Report (Apr. 8, 2020); Amended 2019 October Quarterly Report (May 14, 2020).

MUR 7799 (Stephanie for New Jersey, *et al.*) First General Counsel's Report Page 3 of 8

1 was amended late and only after RAD issued an RFAI inquiring about the excessive

2 contributions.⁷

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

The Complaint also alleges various reporting violations. First, it alleges that between

4 October 2019 and June 2020, the Committee disclosed 19 payments totaling over \$112,000 to

5 Paychex for "salary/wages" but failed to list the names and addresses of the Committee

6 employees who received salaries.⁸ The Complaint states that the Committee subsequently

7 itemized the salary payments to some of the employees on amended disclosure reports. 9 In

8 addition, the Complaint alleges that on an amended 2019 Year-End Report, payments to the

candidate lacked an adequate description of the purpose. Specifically, the Committee disclosed

payments of \$8,718.60 and \$3,659.64 to the candidate for "Candidate Reimbursements:

\$8,718.60: for campaign-related expenses" and "reimbursements from Oct 2019 to mid Dec

2019," respectively. 10 Finally, the Complaint alleges that the Committee filed the incorrect date

of receipt of a \$100,000 candidate loan on a 48-hour report. The Complaint states that the

Committee first reported the loan as being received on July 5, 2020, but later filed an amendment

changing the receipt date to June 27, 2020.¹¹

In response, Schmid and the Committee ("Respondents") assert that most of the

excessive contributions were redesignated, and that "technology based user errors" caused it to

Compl. at 3. See RFAI for 2019 Year-End Report, Attach. 1 (Apr. 9, 2020).

⁸ Compl. at 4; *see* RFAI for 2019 Year-End Report at 1 (Sept. 7, 2020) and RFAI for 2020 April Quarterly Report at 1 (Sept. 7, 2020).

⁹ Compl at 5.

Id. at 5-6; see also Amended 2019 Year-End Report at 115, 127 (June 24, 2020).

Compl. at 7-8; see 48-Hour Report at 1 (July 5, 2020); Amended 48-Hour Report at 1 (July 29, 2020). The amended 48-hour report states that it amends the notice filed on July 22, 2020, but the loan was originally reported on the 48-hour report filed on July 5, 2020.

MUR 7799 (Stephanie for New Jersey, *et al.*) First General Counsel's Report Page 4 of 8

- 1 accept the excessive contributions in the first place. 12 Respondents assert that the Committee
- 2 added new computer software to identify potential compliance issues going forward and that its
- 3 contribution errors constitute a small fraction of the \$720,000 raised by the Committee during
- 4 the election cycle. 13
- In regard to the allegation that the Committee failed to itemize its payroll disbursements,
- 6 Respondents point to a computer software problem that it later corrected and state that they
- 7 amended the disclosure reports on their own initiative to properly report the payments. 14 With
- 8 respect to the \$8,718 and \$3,659 payments to the candidate, Respondents state that they itemized
- 9 these expenses on the same report as memo items with more detailed purposes so that the filing
- was in compliance. 15 Finally, in response to the allegation regarding the reporting of the
- candidate loan, the Committee claims that there was a misunderstanding regarding the reporting
- of the loan and the Committee amended its 48-hour report on its own initiative to reflect that the
- loan was actually made on June 27, 2020, one week earlier than originally reported. 16

14 III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

15 The Act requires committee treasurers to file reports of receipts and disbursements in

accordance with the provisions of 52 U.S.C. § 30104.¹⁷ These reports must include, *inter alia*, the

Resp. at 1 (Oct. 15, 2020). Respondents also state that \$2,965 of the excessive contributions or contributions from unregistered organizations was refunded. *Id.* The pages of the Response are not numbered, but we have identified the pages of the Response by number for purposes of this Report.

¹³ *Id.* at 1.

¹⁴ *Id.* at 2.

¹⁵ *Id*.

¹⁶ *Id*.

¹⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a)(1); 11 C.F.R. § 104.1(a).

MUR 7799 (Stephanie for New Jersey, *et al.*) First General Counsel's Report Page 5 of 8

- 1 total amount of receipts and disbursements, including the appropriate itemizations, where
- 2 required. 18 During the 2020 election cycle, individuals were limited to a contribution of \$2,800
- 3 per candidate per election. 19 Contributions which on their face exceed the contribution limitations
- 4 and contributions which do not appear to be excessive on their face, but which exceed the
- 5 contribution limits when aggregated with other contributions from the same contributor, may be
- 6 deposited or returned to the contributor. 20 If any such contribution is deposited, the treasurer may
- 7 request redesignation or reattribution of the contribution by the contributor.²¹ If a redesignation
- 8 or reattribution is not obtained, the treasurer shall within sixty days of the treasurer's receipt of
- 9 the contribution refund the contribution to the contributor.²² No multicandidate political
- 10 committee shall make contributions to any candidate and his authorized committee with respect to
- any election for Federal office which in the aggregate exceed \$5,000, and no candidate or political
- 12 committee shall knowingly accept any contribution or expenditure in violation of section 30116.²³
- 13 The Act and Commission regulations prohibit corporations from making contributions to
- 14 candidate committees, and candidate committees are prohibited from knowingly accepting or
- 15 receiving such contributions.²⁴ If any contribution of \$1,000 or more is received by any
- authorized committee of a candidate after the 20th day, but more than 48 hours before the date of

¹⁸ See 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a).

¹⁹ See 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(1)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b)(1)(i) and (ii).

²⁰ See 11 C.F.R. § 103.3(b)(3).

²¹ *Id*.

²² *Id; see also* 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b)(3)(i).

²³ 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(2)(A); 52 U.S.C. § 30116(f).

²⁴ 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(b).

MUR 7799 (Stephanie for New Jersey, *et al.*) First General Counsel's Report Page 6 of 8

- 1 the election, the principal campaign committee of that candidate shall notify the Commission
- 2 including the name of the candidate, the office sought by the candidate, the identification of the
- 3 contributor and the date of receipt and amount of the contribution.²⁵
- 4 According to the Complaint, the Committee accepted excessive contributions and
- 5 prohibited contributions referenced in the RFAIs for the Committee's 2019 October Quarterly
- 6 and Year-End Reports. The Committee asserts that it redesignated most of the excessive
- 7 contributions for the general election and refunded \$2,925 to contributors. A review of the 2019
- 8 October Quarterly and Year-End Reports indicates that the Committee timely redesignated all of
- 9 the excessive contributions except for \$3,300, which remains outstanding as excessive
- 10 contributions. 26 In regard to the prohibited contributions of \$1,400, the Committee refunded
- 11 \$1,200, but untimely.²⁷ The Committee also failed to properly itemize salaries for employees
- totaling \$112,000 between October 2019 and June 2020, but amended disclosure reports to
- 13 correct some of the record and it filed those amendments before the Complaint was filed or any
- 14 RFAI was issued. 28 Similarly, the \$100,000 candidate loan was initially reported on a 48-hour
- 15 report with an inaccurate date, but the Committee self-initiated the correction. With respect to the

²⁵ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a)(6)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 104.5(f). The primary election was on July 7, 2020, and the original 48-hour report was filed on July 5, 2020, reporting the loan as being made on July 4, 2020. *See* 48-Hour Report at 1 (July 5, 2020).

See Amended 2019 October Quarterly Report at 9, 41, 56, 57, 59, 61, and 63 (May 14, 2020); Amended 2019 Year-End Report at 30, 38, 57, and 80 (June 24, 2020).

²⁷ See 2020 Pre-General Report at 62 (Oct. 22, 2020).

See Amended 2020 Pre-Primary Report at 98-111 (July 29, 2020) (disclosing salary payments itemized by name and address of the employee); see also Amended 2020 July Quarterly Report at 72 (July 29, 2020). However, the Committee did not respond to the RFAIs concerning unitemized salary payments on the 2019 Year-End Report (Sept. 7, 2020) and the 2020 April Quarterly Report (Sept. 7, 2020), which were issued two weeks before the Complaint was filed. The amount of unitemized salary payments on those two reports totaled \$64,591.92 of the \$112,000 alleged in the Complaint. The Committee itemized salary payments on the 2020 October Quarterly, 2020 Pre-General and 2020 Post-General Reports, filed after the September 7, 2020, RFAIs and the Complaint.

MUR 7799 (Stephanie for New Jersey, *et al.*) First General Counsel's Report Page 7 of 8

- 1 \$8,718.60 and \$3,659.64 payments to the candidate, the Committee disclosed most of the specific
- 2 campaign expenses comprising those payments as memo items on the same report, the 2019 Year-
- 3 End Report.²⁹

10

11

12 13

- Based on these circumstances, where many violations were corrected by self-initiative and
- 5 the remainder are of modest amount we recommend that the Commission dismiss the
- 6 allegations that the Committee and Stephanie Schmid accepted excessive and prohibited
- 7 contributions, failed to properly itemize disbursements, and incorrectly reported the candidate
- 8 loan.³¹ Finally, we recommend that the Commission close the file.

9 IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Dismiss the allegations that Stephanie for New Jersey and Jason Hinton in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a), 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b), 52 U.S.C. § 30116(f) and 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a);
- Dismiss the allegations that Stephanie Schmid violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a),
 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b), 52 U.S.C. § 30116(f) and 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a);

In regard to the \$8,718.60 payment, the Committee reported itemized expenses totaling \$8,114.24, including an additional item of \$28.67 on the amended 2019 Year- End Report. See 2019 Year-End Report at 109-121 (Jan. 26, 2020); Amended 2019 Year-End Report at 126 (June 24, 2020). In regard to the \$3,659.64 payment, the Committee reported itemized expenses totaling \$3,123.32. See 2019 Year-End Report at 121-129 (Jan. 26, 2020). In summary, the reimbursements to the candidate for campaign-related expenses totaled \$12,378.24 and the Committee itemized expenses to the candidate totaling \$11,237.56, or 91% of total payments to the candidate, and the itemized expenses included expenses as low as \$7.82. While the Complaint states that there should be an adequate description of the purpose of expenses and requiring disclosure and itemization of disbursements of \$200 or more is designed to ensure that funds are used properly, see Compl. at 5, of the two payments for reimbursements to the candidate, the total amount that was not itemized on the report is \$1,140.68. The available information does not indicate personal use regarding the \$1,140.68, which is not specifically alleged in the Complaint. See 52 U.S.C. \$ 30114(b), 11 C.F.R. \$ 113.1(g);

MUR779900159

MUR 7799 (Stephanie for New Jersey, *et al.*) First General Counsel's Report Page 8 of 8

Factual and Legal Analysis

30

1	3.	Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis;
2		
3	4.	Approve the appropriate letters; and
4		
5	5.	Close the file.
6		
7		Lisa J. Stevenson
8		Acting General Counsel
9		
10		Charles Kitcher
11		Acting Associate General Counsel for Enforcement
12		
13	June 23, 20	Peter G. Blumberg Peter G. Blumberg
14		Deter C. Dhumbana
15	Date	
16		Acting Deputy Associate General Counsel for Enforcement
17		Enforcement
18		
19 20		Mark Allen
21		Mark Allen
22		Assistant General Counsel
23		
24		
25		Delbert K. Rigsby
26		Delbert K. Rigsby Delbert K. Rigsby
27		Attorney
28		•
29	Attachment	

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION	
1 2 3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS	
4 5 6 7 8	RESPONDENTS: Stephanie for New Jersey and Jason Hinton in his official capacity as treasurer Stephanie Schmid	799
9	I. INTRODUCTION	
10	The Complaint alleges that Stephanie Schmid, a candidate for U.S. Congress from	the 4th
11	Congressional District of New Jersey in 2020, and her campaign committee, Stephanie for	New
12	Jersey and Jason Hinton in his official capacity as treasurer ("Committee"), accepted exce	ssive
13	and prohibited contributions and failed to accurately report receipts and disbursements in	
14	violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). For the	
15	reasons set forth below, the Commission dismisses the allegations.	
16	II. FACTUAL SUMMARY	
17 18	Schmid was the Democratic Party nominee for U.S. Congress from the 4th Congre	ssional
19	District of New Jersey in 2020. ¹ The Committee is her principal campaign committee. ²	
20	The Complaint alleges that the Committee's 2019 October Quarterly Report and 20)19
21	Year-End Report show it accepted excessive contributions. Although the Complaint did n	ot
22	identify the specific excessive contributions in either report, the Commission's Reports Ar	nalysis
23	Division's ("RAD's") Request for Additional Information ("RFAI") for the 2019 October	
24	Quarterly Report identified excessive contributions from seven individuals totaling \$19,60	0.3
25	Similarly, the RFAI for the 2019 Year-End Report identified \$11,500 in excessive contrib	utions
	See Schmid Statement of Candidacy (Aug. 29, 2019). Schmid lost the general election on Novemb 2020.	er 3,
	Stephanie for New Jersey Amended Statement of Organization (Nov. 17, 2020).	
	See REAL for 2019 October Quarterly Report Attach 1 (Apr. 8, 2020)	

Attachment Page 1 of 6 MUR 7799 (Stephanie for New Jersey, *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 6

- from individuals, a \$300 excessive contribution from a multicandidate committee and \$1,400 in
- 2 contributions from unregistered organizations.⁴ The Complaint states that the Committee did not
- 3 file an amended 2019 October Quarterly Report "explaining these contributions" until after RAD
- 4 sent it an RFAI.⁵ The Complaint also notes that the Committee took seven months to amend the
- 5 2019 October Quarterly Report. 6 Similarly, the Complaint states that the 2019 Year-End Report
- 6 was amended late and only after RAD issued an RFAI inquiring about the excessive
- 7 contributions.⁷

8 The Complaint also alleges various reporting violations. First, it alleges that between

- 9 October 2019 and June 2020, the Committee disclosed 19 payments totaling over \$112,000 to
- 10 Paychex for "salary/wages" but failed to list the names and addresses of the Committee
- employees who received salaries.⁸ The Complaint states that the Committee subsequently
- itemized the salary payments to some of the employees on amended disclosure reports. ⁹ In
- addition, the Complaint alleges that on an amended 2019 Year-End Report, payments to the
- candidate lacked an adequate description of the purpose. Specifically, the Committee disclosed
- payments of \$8,718.60 and \$3,659.64 to the candidate for "Candidate Reimbursements:

⁴ See RFAI for 2019 Year-End Report, Attach. 1 (Apr. 9, 2020). The Complaint acknowledges that the Committee amended its 2019 Year-End Report in response to the RFAI. Compl. at 4. (Sept. 21, 2020). The pages of the Complaint are not numbered, but the Commission has identified the Complaint by page numbers.

Compl. at 3.

Id. The Committee amended the disclosure report a month after it received the RFAI. See 2019 October Quarterly Report (Oct. 15, 2019); RFAI for 2019 October Quarterly Report (Apr. 8, 2020); Amended 2019 October Quarterly Report (May 14, 2020).

Compl. at 3. See RFAI for 2019 Year-End Report at 1 (Apr. 9, 2020).

⁸ Compl. at 4; *see* RFAI for 2019 Year End Report at 1 (Sept. 7, 2020) and RFAI for 2020 April Quarterly Report at 1 (Sept. 7, 2020).

⁹ Compl at 5.

MUR 7799 (Stephanie for New Jersey, *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 6

- 1 \$8,718.60: for campaign-related expenses" and "reimbursements from Oct 2019 to mid Dec
- 2 2019," respectively. 10 Finally, the Complaint alleges that the Committee filed the incorrect date
- 3 of receipt of a \$100,000 candidate loan on a 48-hour report. The Complaint states that the
- 4 Committee first reported the loan as being received on July 5, 2020, but later filed an amendment
- 5 changing the receipt date to June 27, 2020.¹¹
- In response, Schmid and the Committee ("Respondents") assert that most of the
- 7 excessive contributions were redesignated, and that "technology based user errors" caused it to
- 8 accept the excessive contributions in the first place. 12 Respondents assert that the Committee
- 9 added new computer software to identify potential compliance issues going forward and that its
- 10 contribution errors constitute a small fraction of the \$720,000 raised by the Committee during
- 11 the election cycle. 13
- In regard to the allegation that the Committee failed to itemize its payroll disbursements,
- Respondents point to a computer software problem that it later corrected and state that they
- amended the disclosure reports on their own initiative to properly report the payments. ¹⁴ With
- respect to the \$8,718 and \$3,659 payments to the candidate, Respondents state that they itemized
- these expenses on the same report as memo items with more detailed purposes so that the filing

¹⁰ Id. at 5-6; see also Amended 2019 Year-End Report at 115, 127 (June 24, 2020).

Compl. at 7-8; *see* 48-Hour Report at 1 (July 5, 2020); Amended 48-Hour Report at 1 (July 29, 2020). The amended 48-hour report states that it amends the notice filed on July 22, 2020, but the loan was originally reported on the 48-hour report filed on July 5, 2020.

Resp. at 1 (Oct. 15, 2020). Respondents also state that \$2,965 of the excessive contributions or contributions from unregistered organizations was refunded. *Id.* The pages of the Response are not numbered, but the Commission has identified the Response by page numbers.

¹³ *Id.* at 1.

¹⁴ *Id.* at 2.

MUR 7799 (Stephanie for New Jersey, *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 6

- was in compliance. 15 Finally, in response to the allegation regarding the reporting of the
- 2 candidate loan, the Committee claims that there was a misunderstanding regarding the reporting
- 3 of the loan and the Committee amended its 48-hour report on its own initiative to reflect that the
- 4 loan was actually made on June 27, 2020, one week earlier than originally reported. 16

III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

5

8

13

15

16

The Act requires committee treasurers to file reports of receipts and disbursements in

7 accordance with the provisions of 52 U.S.C. § 30104.¹⁷ These reports must include, *inter alia*, the

total amount of receipts and disbursements, including the appropriate itemizations, where

9 required. 18 During the 2020 election cycle, individuals were limited to a contribution of \$2,800

10 per candidate per election. 19 Contributions which on their face exceed the contribution limitations

and contributions which do not appear to be excessive on their face, but which exceed the

12 contribution limits when aggregated with other contributions from the same contributor, may be

deposited or returned to the contributor.²⁰ If any such contribution is deposited, the treasurer may

14 request redesignation or reattribution of the contribution by the contributor. ²¹ If a redesignation

or reattribution is not obtained, the treasurer shall within sixty days of the treasurer's receipt of

the contribution refund the contribution to the contributor. 22 No multicandidate political

¹⁵ *Id*.

¹⁶ *Id*.

¹⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a)(1); 11 C.F.R. § 104.1(a).

¹⁸ See 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a).

¹⁹ See 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(1)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b)(1)(i) and (ii).

²⁰ See 11 C.F.R. § 103.3(b)(3).

²¹ *Id*.

²² *Id; see also* 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b)(3)(i).

MUR 7799 (Stephanie for New Jersey, *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5 of 6

- 1 committee shall make contributions to any candidate and his authorized committee with respect to
- 2 any election for Federal office which in the aggregate exceed \$5,000, and no candidate or political
- 3 committee shall knowingly accept any contribution or expenditure in violation of section 30116.²³
- 4 The Act and Commission regulations prohibit corporations from making contributions to
- 5 candidate committees, and candidate committees are prohibited from knowingly accepting or
- 6 receiving such contributions.²⁴ If any contribution of \$1,000 or more is received by any
- 7 authorized committee of a candidate after the 20th day, but more than 48 hours before the date of
- 8 the election, the principal campaign committee of that candidate shall notify the Commission
- 9 including the name of the candidate, the office sought by the candidate, the identification of the
- 10 contributor and the date of receipt and amount of the contribution.²⁵
- 11 According to the Complaint, the Committee accepted excessive contributions and
- 12 prohibited contributions referenced in the RFAIs for the Committee's 2019 October Quarterly
- 13 and Year-End Reports. The Committee asserts that it redesignated most of the excessive
- 14 contributions for the general election and refunded \$2,925 to contributors. A review of the 2019
- 15 October Quarterly and Year-End Reports indicates that the Committee timely redesignated all of
- 16 the excessive contributions except for \$3,300, which remains outstanding as excessive
- 17 contributions. 26 In regard to the prohibited contributions of \$1,400, the Committee refunded

²³ 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(2)(A); 52 U.S.C. § 30116(f).

²⁴ 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(b).

²⁵ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a)(6)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 104.5(f). The primary election was on July 7, 2020, and the original 48-hour report was filed on July 5, 2020, reporting the loan as being made on July 4, 2020. *See* 48-Hour Report at 1 (July 5, 2020).

See Amended 2019 October Quarterly Report at 9, 41, 56, 57, 59, 61, and 63 (May 14, 2020); Amended 2019 Year-End Report at 30, 38, 57, and 80 (June 24, 2020).

MUR 7799 (Stephanie for New Jersey, *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 6 of 6

- 1 \$1,200, but untimely.²⁷ The Committee also failed to properly itemize salaries for employees
- 2 totaling \$112,000 between October 2019 and June 2020, but amended disclosure reports to
- 3 correct some of the record and it filed those amendments before the Complaint was filed or any
- 4 RFAI was issued.²⁸ Similarly, the \$100,000 candidate loan was initially reported on a 48-hour
- 5 report with an inaccurate date, but the Committee self-initiated the correction. With respect to the
- 6 \$8,718.60 and \$3,659.64 payments to the candidate, the Committee disclosed most of the specific
- 7 campaign expenses comprising those payments as memo items on the same report, the 2019 Year-
- 8 End Report.²⁹
- 9 Based on these circumstances, where many violations were corrected by self-initiative and
- 10 the remainder are of modest amount, the Commission dismisses the allegations that the
- 11 Committee and Stephanie Schmid accepted excessive and prohibited contributions, failed to
- 12 properly itemize disbursements, and incorrectly reported the candidate loan.³⁰

²⁷ See 2020 Pre-General Report at 62 (Oct. 22, 2020).

See Amended 2020 Pre-Primary Report at 98-111 (July 29, 2020) (disclosing salary payments itemized by name and address of the employee); see also Amended 2020 July Quarterly Report at 72 (July 29, 2020). However, the Committee did not respond to the RFAIs concerning unitemized salary payments on the 2019 Year-End Report (Sept. 7, 2020) and the 2020 April Quarterly Report (Sept. 7, 2020), which were issued two weeks before the Complaint was filed. The amount of unitemized salary payments on those two reports totaled \$64,591.92 of the \$112,000 alleged in the Complaint. The Committee itemized salary payments on the 2020 October Quarterly, 2020 Pre-General and 2020 Post-General Reports, filed after the September 7, 2020, RFAIs and the Complaint.

In regard to the \$8,718.60 payment, the Committee reported itemized expenses totaling \$8,114.24, including an additional item of \$28.67 on the amended 2019 Year- End Report. See 2019 Year- End Report at 109-121 (Jan. 26, 2020); Amended 2019 Year- End Report at 126 (June 24, 2020). In regard to the \$3,659.64 payment, the Committee reported itemized expenses totaling \$3,123.32. See 2019 Year- End Report at 121-129 (Jan. 26, 2020). In summary, the reimbursements to the candidate for campaign-related expenses totaled \$12,378.24 and the Committee itemized expenses to the candidate totaling \$11,237.56, or 91% of total payments to the candidate, and the itemized expenses included expenses as low as \$7.82. While the Complaint states that there should be an adequate description of the purpose of expenses and requiring disclosure and itemization of disbursements of \$200 or more is designed to ensure that funds are used properly, see Compl. at 5, of the two payments for reimbursements to the candidate, the total amount that was not itemized on the report is \$1,140.68. The available information does not indicate personal use regarding the \$1,140.68, which is not specifically alleged in the Complaint. See 52 U.S.C. \$30114(b), 11 C.F.R. \$113.1(g).

See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).