

Jeffrey T. Buley, Esq. New York Republican State Committee 315 State Street Albany, New York 12210

APR 1 1 2017

RE:

MUR 6985

New York Republican State Committee

Dear Mr. Buley:

On November 24, 2015, the Federal Election Commission notified New York Republican State Committee of a complaint alleging violation of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended.

On March 23, 2017, the Commission found, on the basis of the information in the complaint and information provided by you, that there is no reason to believe that New York Republican State Committee violated the Act. Accordingly, the Commission closed the file in this matter as it pertains to New York Republican State Committee. The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's finding, is enclosed for your information.

The Commission reminds you that the confidentiality provisions of 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(12)(A) remain in effect, and that this matter is still open with respect to other respondents. The Commission will notify you when the entire file has been closed.

If you have any questions, please contact Elena Paoli, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1548 or epaoli@fec.gov.

Sincerely.

Mark Allen

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure
Factual and Legal Analysis

22

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
4 5 6	RESPONDENT: New York Republican State Committee
7 8	I. INTRODUCTION
9 10	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission
11	alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), by
12	New York Republican State Committee.
13	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
14	In 2013, Lee Zeldin was a state senator in Suffolk County, New York. On October 7,
15	2013, Zeldin announced that he would seek the U.S. House seat in New York's First
16	Congressional District in 2014. The Commission received Zeldin's Statement of Candidacy o
17	October 21, 2013.
18	The State Committee remained active while Zeldin completed his state senate term and
19	campaigned for the U.S. House. New York State allows state candidates to receive
20	contributions that would be impermissible under the Act; for example, a corporation can
21	contribute \$5,000 to a candidate per year. ² During the 2012 and 2014 election cycles, the

contribution limit for a state senate candidate was \$6,500 for the primary election and \$10,300

The State Committee terminated on April 28, 2016. See New York State Board of Elections campaign finance disclosure website (http://www.elections.ny.gov:8080/plsql_browser/getfiler2_loaddates). It does not appear that Zeldin ever sought to be a candidate for the state senate seat in 2014.

² See N.Y. ELEC. LAW § 14-116(2) (McKinney 2016).

- for the general election.³ Zeldin's State Committee accepted such contributions.⁴ In addition,
- 2 political committees in New York cannot terminate if funds remain in their accounts.⁵ Under
- 3 New York law, state officeholders who wish to terminate their committees may spend down their,
- 4 accounts through donations to other political committees.⁶
- 5 The Complaint identifies a dozen instances after Zeldin announced his federal candidacy
- 6 when the State Committee made a contribution to a state or local political organization that was
- 7 preceded or followed by a contribution to the Federal Committee by that same organization.⁷
- 8 The Complaint alleges that the Federal Committee received \$16,651 of these reciprocal
- '9 contributions as part of a scheme to impermissibly transfer State Committee funds to the Federal
- 10 Committee.8
- The Commission has considered arrangements to transfer a state committee's funds into a
- federal committee's account through intermediaries. In MUR 5278, candidate Gingrey admitted
- in a state proceeding to having arranged "reciprocal contributions" for the purpose of funneling
- state funds into his federal account. Similarly, in an advisory opinion, the Commission found

See id. § 14-114(b); N.Y. COMP. CODES R. & REGS. tit. 9, § 6214.0 (2016). A candidate's family members have a separate, higher limit. See N.Y. ELEC. LAW § 14-114(b).

See, e.g., State Committee 2014 January Periodic Report, Schedules A and B.

See N.Y. Comp. Codes R. & Regs. tit. 9, § 6200.2(b) (2016).

⁶ See New York State Board of Elections Campaign Finance Handbook at 46 (2014).

⁷ See Compl. at 6-7 and Attachs. A, B.

⁸ Compl. at 3.

Factual & Legal Analysis at 3-4, MUR 5278 (Gingrey). Gingrey acknowledged four reciprocal transfers, three of which involved the same amount of money on the same day or a few days apart. The fourth involved contributions of \$1,000 and \$500 about five months apart. See id. The Commission entered into a conciliation agreement with the Gingrey Committee for this violation and others, and the Committee paid a \$1,800 civil penalty.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

impermissible the requestor's plan to use surplus state funds to make indirect transfers to the requestor's federal committee.¹⁰

A review of the available information, however, reveals that most of the alleged reciprocal contributions do not match up closely in amounts or time. For example, in the first transaction identified in the Complaint, the State Committee contributed \$500 to the Committee to Elect a Republican Majority ("CERM") on October 25, 2013, and CERM contributed \$1,000 to the Federal Committee on March 20, 2014, about five months later. Similarly, the second such identified transaction involves a \$100 transfer from the State Committee to the Smithtown Women's Republican Club in early December 2013 and a \$500 contribution from that group to the Federal Committee over seven months later. The closest alleged reciprocal contribution appears to involve Islip Town Conservative Executive Committee ("ITCEC"). On January 25, 2014, the State Committee contributed \$1,000 to ITCEC, and on March 19, 2014, ITCEC contributed the same amount to the Federal Committee.

Here, while New York Republican State Committee made contributions to the Federal Committee — \$3,956 on February 21, 2014, and \$5,000 on June 17, 2014 — it denies having received a contribution from the State Committee. A review of publicly available information confirms its assertion.

Thus, there is no reason to believe that New York Republican State Committee violated the Act.

Advisory Op. 1996-33 (Colantuono) (Requester sought to contribute surplus state funds to fellow state legislators who would then make "roughly equivalent" contributions to Colantuono's federal committee).

See Compl. at 6.

^{12 14}

In fact, the Commission has information indicating that two respondents received no donations from the State Committee.