NOTICE AQ DRAFT COMMENT PROCEDURES

The Commission has approved a revision in its advisory opinion procedures that permits the submission of written public comments on draft advisory opinions when proposed by the Office of General Counsel and scheduled for a future Commission agenda.

Today, DRAFT ADVISORY OPINION 2002-09 is available for public comments under this procedure. It was requested by counsel, Diana Hartstein on behalf of Target Wireless. The draft may be obtained from the Public Disclosure Division of the Commission.

Proposed Advisory Opinion 2002-09 will be on the Commission's agenda for its public meeting of Thursday August 22, 2002.

Please note the following requirements for submitting comments:

- 1) Comments must be submitted in writing to the Commission Secretary with a duplicate copy to the Office of General Counsel. Comments in legible and complete form may be submitted by fax machine to the Secretary at (202) 208-3333 and to OGC at (202) 219-3923.
- 2) The deadline for the submission of comments is 12:00 noon (EDT) on August 21, 2002.
- 3) No comments will be accepted or considered if received after the deadline. Late comments will be rejected and returned to the commenter. Requests to extend the comment period are discouraged and unwelcome. An extension request will be considered only if received before the comment deadline and then only on a case by case basis in special circumstances.
- 4) All comments timely received will be distributed to the Commission and the Office of General Counsel. They will also be made available to the public at the Commission's Public Disclosure Division.

CONTACTS

Press inquiries:

Ron Harris

(202) 694-1220

Acting Commission Secretary:

Mary Dove (202) 694-1040

Other inquiries:

To obtain copy of draft AO 2002-09 contact Public Records Office-Public Disclosure Division (202) 694-1120, or 800-424-9530.

For questions about comment submission procedure contact N. Bradley Litchfield, Associate General Counsel, (202) 694-1650.

<u>ADDRESSES</u>

Submit single copy of written comments to:

Commission Secretary
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street NW
Washington, DC 20463





FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

2002 AUG 15 P 4: 25

AGENDA ITEM

For Meeting of: 8-22-02

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

MEMORANDUM

August 15, 2002

TO:

The Commission

THROUGH:

James A. Pehrkon

Staff Director

FROM:

Lawrence H. Norton

General Counsel

James A. Kahl

Deputy General Course

John Vergelli

Acting Assistant General Counsel

Ruth Heilizer Ph

Staff Attorney

SUBJECT:

Draft AO 2002-09 - Alternative Drafts

The attached responds to a question raised by Target Wireless, a vendor of wireless digital telephone services. Target provides content, including sports scores and news items, to individuals who subscribe to these services and who own wireless telephones with digital screens. In its request for an advisory opinion, Target states that it wishes to transmit political messages, along with content, to subscribers but notes that current technology limits the available screen space to 160 characters. Target argues that it cannot transmit disclaimers along with political messages and content and requests that the transmissions be excepted from the disclaimer regulations, either under 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(i), the "small item/inconvenience" exception, or 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(ii), the "impracticability" exception.

This Office believes that the question presented by Target is a very close legal judgment under the applicable regulations. The primary difficulty is that the Commission's disclaimer requirements, including the exceptions thereto, have not heretofore been analyzed with respect to a new technology such as digital wireless communications services. Moreover, the visual display provided by the SMS technology, which is at the center of this advisory opinion request, possesses both similarities and dissimilarities with the two

Memorandum to the Commission Page 2

exceptions cited by Target. As a result, we have prepared alternative drafts of this advisory opinion, and we request that both drafts be placed on the agenda for August 22, 2002.

Attachments
Drafts A and B

DRAFT A

Diana	Hartste	in, Esq.
-------	---------	----------

- 2 Caplin & Drysdale
- 3 One Thomas Circle, NW
- 4 Suite 1100

1

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 Washington, DC 20005

6 Washington, DC 2

DRAFT

Dear Ms. Hartstein:

This refers to your letters dated August 5, 2002 (with an enclosure), July 25, 2002, June
13, 2002, May 14, 2002 (with an enclosure), and February 25, 2002, requesting an advisory
opinion concerning the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act, as amended ("the
Act") and Commission regulations to the distribution of political advertisements through wireless
telecommunications networks.

BACKGROUND

You state that your client, Target Wireless ("Target"), provides content, which you define as "politics, news, sports, etc.," through wireless telecommunications networks and Internet service providers to subscribers of wireless PCS digital telephones. One of Target's primary sources of revenue is advertising. Target has been contacted by candidates and political parties about paying Target to send political advertising to wireless digital telephone subscribers.

However, you assert that Target is currently unable to provide this service because Target is uncertain whether the Commission's disclaimer requirements would apply to these communications. You conclude that, because the available technology limits the length of content-and-advertising communications, the Commission should exempt these communications from its disclaimer requirements.

With regard to the specific technology involved, you state that, in the United States, all

wireless carriers employ "Short Messaging Service" ("SMS") technology. You describe SMS as

- 1 follows: a wireless customer has the option of subscribing to a content-based information system
- 2 that permits the delivery and receipt of content and e-mail messages. The content and e-mail
- 3 messages are displayed as short messages on a liquid crystal display, which serves as the digital
- 4 screen on each wireless telephone. In order to receive content, customers enter into contracts for
- 5 SMS messages with wireless telephone carriers. The contracts require customers to pay a flat
- 6 price for a certain number of minutes per month. August 5, 2002 letter, p. 2.

7 Target envisions that political advertisers would sponsor content, such as sports scores or

- 8 information about news events, which would appear on subscribers' telephone screens, along
- 9 with a political message, such as "Kids are Winners with Smith" (May 14, 2002 letter, page 3).
- 10 You note, however, that, due to technological limitations, SMS messages are limited to 160
- 11 characters per screen, with "characters" including letters, symbols, spaces, punctuations marks,
- 12 and single digits. August 5, 2002 letter, p. 2.

20

21

13 You maintain that providing disclaimers with the political advertisements, as generally

14 required by 11 CFR 110.11, will prevent candidates for Federal office and others from using

15 wireless media for political advertising. For example, you point out that a disclaimer such as

16 "Paid for by the Republican National Committee" consumes 45 characters and that a longer ...

17 disclaimer such as "Paid for by the Fisherman's Union PAC and not authorized by any candidate

or candidate's committee" consumes 98 characters. May 14, 2002 letter, p. 4. In the latter case,

only 62 characters would remain for the advertisement itself and for the accompanying content.

On page 1 of your June 13, 2002 letter, you assert that it is not feasible to provide for two

continuous pages of political advertising, including content and disclaimers. On pp. 1-2 of your

The Commission reminds Target that, generally, unions, corporations and trade associations may solicit contributions only through their separate segregated funds and only from members of their restricted classes, as

- 1 August 5, 2002 letter, you explain that, because an SMS two-page message is treated as two
- 2 separate messages, the chances that the two pages would arrive in tandem are remote. Further,
- 3 you state that, as SMS technology becomes more popular, the likelihood that both pages of a
- 4 two-page message will arrive in tandem will decrease. Finally, you point out that contracts for
- 5 SMS messages require consumers to pay a flat fee for a certain number of minutes. Thus, the
- 6 second page of a two-page message would consume additional time and would cost consumers
- 7 money.
- 8 You also note that the Commission's regulations concerning disclaimers on television
- 9 advertisements state that disclaimers are considered "clear and conspicuous" if the disclaimers
- appear in letters "equal to or greater than four (4) percent of the vertical screen height ..."
- 11 CFR 110.11(a)(5)(iii). In contrast, you point out that even a relatively short disclaimer, such
- as "Paid for by Smith for Congress" uses 30 of the available 160 characters, or approximately
- 13 18% of the available characters.
- You conclude that, given the limited space available with SMS delivery to digital
- 15 telephones, wireless digital advertising is similar to the disclaimer exceptions listed at 11 CFR
- 16 110.11(a)(6)(i), which includes certain small items, or 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(ii), which includes
- 17 methods of advertising where a disclaimer would be "impracticable." Therefore, you ask that
- 18 Target's proposed content-plus-political advertising proposal be exempted from the
- 19 Commission's disclaimer requirements under 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(i) or (ii). As an alternative,
- 20 you suggest that the political advertisements could either include a web link to the sponsor's
- 21 identification, such as <u>www.sponsor.com</u>, or a toll-free telephone number so that individuals who

regulations.

- 1 read the messages could determine the identify of the sponsor. (Presumably, the sponsor
- 2 identification would contain the requisite disclaimer.)

ACT AND COMMISSION REGULATIONS

The following discussion is based on the Act, as recently amended by the Bipartisan

Campaign Reform Act ("BCRA"), Pub. L. No. 107-155, and the Commission's current

Current Regulations

Whenever any person makes an expenditure to finance communications expressly advocating the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate or soliciting any contribution, and does so through various types of mass media (e.g., a broadcasting station) or via "any other type of general public political advertising," the communication is required to include a statement of sponsorship or disclaimer. 2 U.S.C. 441d, 11 CFR 110.11. The disclaimer must clearly state if the communication has been paid for and authorized by a candidate, or the candidate's authorized political committee. If the communication is paid for by other persons but authorized by a candidate (including an authorized political committee of a candidate or its agents), the disclaimer shall clearly state that the communication is paid for by those other persons and authorized by such authorized political committee. On the other hand, if the communication is not authorized by a candidate (including an authorized political committee of a candidate or its agents), the disclaimer shall clearly state the name of the person who paid for the communication and state that it is not authorized by any candidate or the candidate's committee. 2 U.S.C. 441d; 11 CFR 109.3, 110.11(a)(1), and 110.11(a)(5).

Some exceptions to the Commission's disclaimer requirements are listed at 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(i) and (ii). These exceptions include 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(i), which covers bumper

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 1 stickers, pins, buttons, pens, and other similar small items upon which a disclaimer cannot be
- 2 conveniently printed, and 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(ii), which covers skywriting, watertowers,
- 3 wearing apparel, and other methods of displaying political advertising and other means of
- 4 advertising where displaying a disclaimer would be "impracticable."

Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act

In BCRA, Congress has mandated additional disclaimer requirements.² First, the disclaimer requirements now apply to "any" communication financed by a political committee, not just those that expressly advocate the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate, or that solicit any contribution. 2 U.S.C. 441d(a). Second, all persons who finance electioneering communications are subject to the provisions of 2 U.S.C. 441d(a).³ Third, for communications that are not authorized by a candidate, an authorized political committee of a candidate, or its agents, under BCRA, disclaimers include the "permanent street address, telephone number or World Wide Web address of the person who paid for the communication." 2 U.S.C. 441d(a)(3).

ANALYSIS

As described in 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(i) and (ii), the Commission has provided for certain exceptions to its disclaimer requirements. However, these exceptions are distinguishable from your proposal. First, by virtue of their size, the "small" items listed in 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(i), such as bumper stickers, pins, buttons, and pens, can only display very short messages consisting of only a few words, which is why disclaimers "cannot be conveniently printed" on them. In

BCRA's "disclaimer" provisions will not take effect until November 6, 2002.

An electioneering communication "means any broadcast, cable, or satellite communication which refers to a clearly identified candidate for federal office" and "is made within 60 days before a general, special, or runoff election for the office sought by the candidate, or 30 days before a primary or preference election, or a convention or caucus of a political party that has authority to nominate a candidate, for the office sought by the candidate; and in the case of a communication which refers to a candidate for an office other than President or Vice President, is targeted to the relevant electorate." 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(A)(i).

- 1 contrast, the telephone screens in question, although small in size, are designed to hold up to 160
- 2 characters of information, more than enough space to display a political advertisement and a
- 3 disclaimer. The true limitation, which Target imposes on itself, is that it seeks to display content
- 4 and the political advertisement on the same screen. Therefore, the "small item/convenience"
- 5 exception in 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(i) does not apply to Target's content-plus-political messages.
- The second exception for "impracticability," as described in 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(ii),
- 7 includes means of advertising such as skywriting, watertowers, and wearing apparel. For
- 8 example, an individual who uses skywriting as a medium for conveying a political message
- 9 would find it difficult, if not impossible, to add the information required for a proper disclaimer.
- In contrast, the medium that Target proposes to use does not, in and of itself, make
- disclaimers impracticable. The examples provided by Target (see pages 3-4 of the May 14, 2002
- 12 letter) provide substantial amounts of information. The Commission notes that the 160-character
- 13 limitation does not prevent Target from transmitting political messages along with disclaimers;
- thus, such transmissions are not impracticable. Indeed, the practical transmittal of information is
- 15 the point of wireless communications.
- Finally, as noted above, Target's messages are not comparable to the items excepted from
- 17 the disclaimer requirements, as described above in 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(i) and (ii), because these
- 18 items display political advertisements only, whereas Target's proposed messages would include
- 19 content as well as political advertising. Thus, unlike the excepted items which do not have space
- 20 for disclaimers. Target's messages have space that is taken up with content.
- 21 Based on the foregoing, the Commission concludes that Target's proposal, as presented,
- 22 does not fall within the exceptions to the Commission's disclaimer requirements found at
- 23 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(i) and (ii). BCRA does not provide any additional disclaimer exceptions.

1 Therefore, any political advertisements sent by Target must include disclaimers as set forth in the

2 Act, as amended by BCRA, and in 11 CFR 110.11.

3 The Commission has also considered your alternative proposal that, instead of including

4 disclaimers with each message, the proposed political advertisements would include either a

telephone number, which subscribers could call to find out who sponsored the advertisement, or

a link to an Internet website which would provide information identifying the sponsor. The

7 Commission, however, rejects both alternatives because neither results in the required disclaimer

appearing clearly and conspicuously with the political advertisement itself. 11 CFR

9 110.11(a)(5). The disclaimer could be "easily overlooked" if the reader must follow a link to a

screen which may, at best, be separated from the political communication by one or more

unrelated screens. Ibid. (The Commission notes that the reader is particularly likely to forego

obtaining sponsor information if doing so costs the reader money, as it may if the reader must

place a call from his or her cell phone or if receipt of a second message adds to the subscriber's

14 charges.)

15

5

6

8

11

12

13

As stated above, BCRA allows communications that are not authorized by a candidate, an authorized political committee of a candidate, or its agents, to include the sponsor's World Wide Web address in the communication, instead of the sponsor's street address or telephone number. 2 U.S.C. 441d(a)(3). However, nothing in BCRA eliminates the need to identify the sponsor of the communication.

AO 2002-09 Page 8

1	This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning application of the Act and	
2	Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. See	
3	2 U.S.C. 437f.	
4	Sincerely,	
5		
6 7	Karl J. Sandstrom Vice-Chairman	
8 9 10		
11		
- 12		
13	•	
14		
15		

1 Diana Hartstein, Esq.

- Caplin & Drysdale
- 3 One Thomas Circle, NW
- 4 Suite 1100

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

5 Washington, DC 20005

DRAFT

DRAFT B

7 Dear Ms. Hartstein:

This refers to your letters dated August 5, 2002 (with an enclosure), July 25, 2002, June 13,

9 2002, May 14, 2002 (with an enclosure), and February 25, 2002, requesting an advisory opinion

concerning the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act, as amended ("the Act") and

Commission regulations to the distribution of political advertisements through wireless

telecommunications networks.

BACKGROUND

You state that your client, Target Wireless ("Target"), provides content, which you define as "politics, news, sports, etc.," through wireless telecommunications networks and Internet service providers to subscribers of wireless PCS digital telephones. One of Target's primary sources of revenue is advertising. Target has been contacted by candidates and political parties about paying Target to send political advertising to wireless digital telephone subscribers. However, you assert that Target is currently unable to provide this service because Target is uncertain whether the Commission's disclaimer requirements would apply to these communications. You conclude that, because the available technology limits the length of content-and-advertising communications, the Commission should exempt these communications from its disclaimer requirements.

With regard to the specific technology involved, you state that, in the United States, all wireless carriers employ "Short Messaging Service" ("SMS") technology. You describe SMS as follows: a wireless customer has the option of subscribing to a content-based information system that permits the delivery and receipt of content and e-mail messages. The content and e-mail

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 1 messages are displayed as short messages on a liquid crystal display, which serves as the digital
- 2 screen on each wireless telephone. In order to receive content, customers enter into contracts for
- 3 SMS messages with wireless telephone carriers. The contracts require customers to pay a flat price
- 4 for a certain number of minutes per month. August 5, 2002 letter, p. 2.

5 Target envisions that political advertisers would sponsor content, such as sports scores or

6 information about news events, which would appear on subscribers' telephone screens, along with a

political message, such as "Kids are Winners with Smith" (May 14, 2002 letter, page 3). You note,

however, that, due to technological limitations, SMS messages are limited to 160 characters per

screen, with "characters" including letters, symbols, spaces, punctuations marks, and single digits.

August 5, 2002 letter, p. 2.

You maintain that providing disclaimers with the political advertisements, as generally required by 11 CFR 110.11, will prevent candidates for Federal office and others from using wireless media for political advertising. For example, you point out that a disclaimer such as "Paid for by the Republican National Committee" consumes 45 characters and that a longer disclaimer such as "Paid for by the Fisherman's Union PAC and not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee" consumes 98 characters. May 14, 2002 letter, p. 4. In the latter case, only 62 characters would remain for the advertisement itself and for the accompanying content.

On page 1 of your June 13, 2002 letter, you assert that it is not feasible to provide for two continuous pages of political advertising, including content and disclaimers. On pp. 1-2 of your August 5, 2002 letter, you explain that, because an SMS two-page message is treated as two separate messages, the chances that the two pages would arrive in tandem are remote. Further, you

The Commission reminds Target that, generally, unions, corporations and trade associations may solicit contributions only through their separate segregated funds and only from members of their restricted classes, as

- 1 state that, as SMS technology becomes more popular, the likelihood that both pages of a two-page
- 2 message will arrive in tandem will decrease. Finally, you point out that contracts for SMS messages
- 3 require consumers to pay a flat fee for a certain number of minutes. Thus, the second page of a two-
- 4 page message would consume additional time and would cost consumers money.
- 5 You also note that the Commission's regulations concerning disclaimers on television
- 6 advertisements state that disclaimers are considered "clear and conspicuous" if the disclaimers
- 7 appear in letters "equal to or greater than four (4) percent of the vertical screen height . . ." 11 CFR
- 8 110.11(a)(5)(iii). In contrast, you point out that even a relatively short disclaimer, such as "Paid for
- 9 by Smith for Congress" uses 30 of the available 160 characters, or approximately 18% of the
- 10 available characters.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

You assert that, given the limited space available with SMS delivery to wireless digital telephones, requiring disclaimers would "constructively estop new media agencies, wireless providers and candidates for public office from utilizing wireless media ... when implementing advertising initiatives for candidates." February 25, 2002 letter, p. 3. You conclude that either the disclaimer exception listed at 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(i), which includes certain small items, or the exception listed at 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(ii), which includes methods of advertising where a disclaimer would be "impracticable," should apply. Therefore, you ask that Target's proposed content-plus-political advertising proposal be exempted from the Commission's disclaimer requirements under 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(i) or (ii). As an alternative, you suggest that the political advertisements could either include a web link to the sponsor's identification, such as www.sponsor.com, or a toll-free telephone number so that individuals who read the messages could

- 1 determine the identify of the sponsor. (Presumably, the sponsor identification would contain the
- 2 requisite disclaimer.)

б

ACT AND COMMISSION REGULATIONS

4 The following discussion is based on the Act, as recently amended by the Bipartisan

Campaign Reform Act ("BCRA"), Pub. L. No. 107-155, and the Commission's current regulations.

Current Regulations

Whenever any person makes an expenditure to finance communications expressly advocating the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate or soliciting any contribution, and does so through various types of mass media (e.g., a broadcasting station) or via "any other type of general public political advertising," the communication is required to include a statement of sponsorship or disclaimer. 2 U.S.C. 441d, 11 CFR 110.11. The disclaimer must clearly state if the communication has been paid for and authorized by a candidate, or the candidate's authorized political committee. If the communication is paid for by other persons but authorized by a candidate (including an authorized political committee of a candidate or its agents), the disclaimer shall clearly state that the communication is paid for by those other persons and authorized by a candidate political committee. On the other hand, if the communication is not authorized by a candidate (including an authorized political committee of a candidate or its agents), the disclaimer shall clearly state the name of the person who paid for the communication and state that it is not authorized by any candidate or the candidate's committee. 2 U.S.C. 441d; 11 CFR 109.3, 110.11(a)(1), and 110.11(a)(5).

Some exceptions to the Commission's disclaimer requirements are listed at 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(i) and (ii). These exceptions include 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(i), which covers bumper stickers, pins, buttons, pens, and other similar small items upon which a disclaimer cannot be

- 1 conveniently printed, and 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(ii), which covers skywriting, watertowers, wearing
- 2 apparel, and other methods of displaying political advertising and other means of advertising where
- 3 displaying a disclaimer would be "impracticable."

Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act

In BCRA, Congress has mandated additional disclaimer requirements.² First, the disclaimer requirements now apply to "any" communication financed by a political committee, not just those that expressly advocate the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate, or that solicit any contribution. 2 U.S.C. 441d(a). Second, all persons who finance electioneering communications are subject to the provisions of 2 U.S.C. 441d(a).³ Third, for communications that are not authorized by a candidate, an authorized political committee of a candidate, or its agents, under BCRA, disclaimers include the "permanent street address, telephone number or World Wide Web address of the person who paid for the communication." 2 U.S.C. 441d(a)(3).

ANALYSIS

Based on the facts presented, the Commission concludes that the disclaimer exception at 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(i) applies to your request. By virtue of their size, the "small" items listed in 11 CFR 110.11(a)(6)(i), such as bumper stickers, pins, buttons, and pens are limited in the size and length of the messages that they are able to contain. Similarly, the wireless telephone screens that you have described have limits on both the size and the length of the information that can be conveyed. Indeed, the Commission notes that the SMS technology places even greater limits on the length of a political advertisement than exists with bumper stickers.

BCRA's "disclaimer" provisions will not take effect until November 6, 2002.

An electioneering communication "means any broadcast, cable, or satellite communication which refers to a clearly identified candidate for federal office" and "is made within 60 days before a general, special, or runoff election for the office sought by the candidate, or 30 days before a primary or preference election, or a convention or caucus of a political party that has authority to nominate a candidate, for the office sought by the candidate; and in the case of a

1	Because the Commission has concluded that the disclaimer exception at 11 CFR		
2	110.11(a)(6)(i) applies, it does not analyze Target's proposed alternatives of including sponsor		
3	information in a web link or a toll-free telephone number. Additionally, in view of the		
4	Commission's decision, it does not analyze Target's proposal under disclaimer exception 11 CFR		
5	110.11(a)(6)(ii), which covers skywriting, watertowers, wearing apparel, and other methods of		
6	displaying political advertising and other means of advertising where displaying a disclaimer would		
7	be "impracticable."		
8	This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning application of the Act and		
9	Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. See 2 U.S.(
10	437f.		
11			
12	Sincerely,		
13			
14			
15	Karl J. Sandstrom		
16	Vice-Chairman		
17			