# **REVISED** AGENDA DOCUMENT #95-68



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION SECRETARING

Jun 26 4 17 Fii '95

SUBMITTED LATE

AGENBA ITEN

For Meeting of: JUN 2 9 1995

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

June 26, 1995

# MEMORANDUM

TO:

FROM:

THROUGH:

The Commission John C. Surina Staff Director

Lawrence M. Noble General Counsel

> N. Bradley Litchfield Associate General Counsel

Michael G. Marinellin m/

SUBJECT: Revised Draft AO 1995-20

Attached is a revised draft of the subject advisory opinion. The revisions are made to the draft previously circulated to the Commission as Agenda Document #95-68 for the agenda of June 29, 1995.

The revisions are highlighted with the <u>bold</u> <u>feature</u> and are underlined. They cover only the new factual circumstances presented in the sixth paragraph of Representative Roemer's letter dated June 22, 1995. The paragraph states that, on some trips back to his district (Indiana), his children will be directly involved in campaign activities. For example, sitting for photographs to be used in campaign mailings and attending political events, such as picnics.

The revision on page 4 has a new conclusion allowing the use of campaign funds for trips where the 2 year old is scheduled to be included in a prescheduled campaign event with either of his parents.

Memorandum to the Commission Page 2

.

The other portions of the June 22 letter present advocacy and argumentation in opposition to the draft opinion proposed by the Office of General Counsel. This office will address those points in the discussion on Thursday.

We request that this revised draft be placed on the June 29 agenda and be considered instead of Agenda Document #95-68.

-

Attachment

### **ADVISORY OPINION 1995-20**

The Honorable Tim Roemer Hoosiers for Tim Roemer P.O. Box 4400 South Bend, Indiana 46634

# DRAFT

# Dear Mr. Roemer:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

This refers to your <u>two</u> letters dated <u>June 22 and</u> May 24, 1995, concerning the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), to the use of campaign funds for the travel-related expenses for your two-year old son.

Hoosiers for Tim Roemer ("the Committee") is your principal campaign committee. You state that your wife serves as your senior campaign advisor and is an integral part of your campaign team. Several times a year, she accompanies you back to your district in Indiana where she is directly involved in campaign-related activities. You state that you have two small children, one age two and the other ten months. You state that because of their ages, you feel it is necessary for the children to accompany you and your wife when you travel to Indiana on campaign-related business "even though they are not directly involved in campaign activities." Your June 22 letter further explains that "there are times when my children will be directly involved in campaign-related activities. For example, they will accompany my wife and me to Indiana when we take the photographs for our family Christmas card and for campaign-related mailings. I also plan to have my children

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

with me when I attend certain political events such as picnics." You further state that because the oldest child is two, you are obligated to purchase an airline ticket for him. You ask, in light of the new regulations regarding the personal use of campaign funds, if it is permissible for your committee to use campaign funds to pay for your two-year old son's ticket. $\frac{1}{2}$ 

1

Under the Act and new Commission regulations, a candidate and the candidate's campaign committee have wide discretion in making expenditures to influence the candidate's election, but may not convert excess campaign funds to personal use. 2 U.S.C. §§431(9) and 439a; 11 CFR 113.1(g) and 113.2.

The Commission's revised regulations provide guidance regarding what would be considered personal use of campaign funds. Personal use is defined as "any use of funds in a campaign account of a present or former candidate to fulfill a commitment, obligation or expense<sup>\*</sup> of any person that would exist irrespective of the candidate's campaign or duties as a Federal officeholder." 11 CFR 113.1(g).

Travel expenses are not listed among those expenditures

<sup>1/</sup> The relevant regulations were published in the Federal Register on February 9, 1995, (60 Fed. Reg. 7862) with an effective date of April 5, 1995 (60 Fed. Reg. 17193). The rules will be published in the 1996 edition of the Code of Federal Regulations at 11 CFR 100.8(b)(22), 104.3(b)(4), 113.1(g), and 113.2.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

that would be considered <u>per se</u> personal use.<sup>2/</sup> The regulations state that the Commission will determine on a case by case basis whether uses of funds in a campaign account for travel purposes would fulfill a commitment, obligation or expense that would exist irrespective of the candidate's campaign or duties as a Federal officeholder, and, therefore, would be personal use. 11 CFR 113.1(g)(1)(ii). If a committee uses campaign funds to pay expenses associated with travel that involves both personal activities and campaign related activities, the incremental expenses that result from the personal activities are personal use, unless any person benefiting from this use reimburses the campaign account within thirty days for the amount of the incremental expenses.

You have stated that your wife is your senior campaign advisor and will be traveling to your home district to be directly involved in campaign related activities. Using the standard established by section 113.1(g)(1)(ii), her travel expenses are clearly attributable to her participation in your campaign for re-election. Therefore, campaign funds could be used to pay for your wife's travel.

<sup>2/</sup> Under section 113.1(g)(1)(i), personal use includes but is not limited to funds used for the following items: household food items; funeral, cremation or burial expenses; clothing; tuition payments not associated with training campaign staff; mortgage, rent or utility payments; tickets to non-campaign or non-officeholder entertainment; dues, fees or gratuities to nonpolitical organizations unless related to a specific fundraising event; and salary payments to family members unless paid for bona fide, campaign-related services.

The situation regarding your children is different. You state that, with respect to some trips, your children are not directly involved in campaign activities. However, you state that it is necessary that the children be with you and your wife because of their ages. The Commission notes that the need to provide special care and attention for your infant children would exist irrespective of your campaign responsibilities or your duties as a Federal officeholder. Providing for child care when a parent is unavailable for business travel reasons is a concern for most families, regardless of profession. The expenses for such care cannot be said to be specially related to your campaign. Therefore, with respect to those trips when your two year old son is not directly involved in campaign activities, the Commission concludes that the use of campaign funds to pay for his airfare would constitute personal use under 2 U.S.C. §439a and 11 CFR 113.1(g). $\frac{3}{7}$  Thus, your campaign funds may not be used for this expense.

By contrast, when your two year old son accompanies you and your wife on a trip that includes any prescheduled campaign event which includes him, the cost of his airfare for that trip will be regarded as a campaign expenditure, and not as a personal use of campaign funds. Accordingly, it may

1

<sup>3/</sup> Your request cites the possible application of 11 CFR 113.2 to your situation. However, that provision pertains to the use of funds for travel that is "ordinary and necessary" in connection with an individual's duties as a holder of Federal office. Your inquiry describes only campaign related travel; therefore, section 113.2 does not apply.

be paid by the Committee from campaign funds and should be reported as an operating expenditure. See 11 CFR 104.3(b)(2) and (b)(4)(i).

This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning application of the Act, or regulations prescribed by the Commission, to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. See 2 U.S.C. §437f.

Sincerely,

Danny L. McDonald Chairman

**7.7** -